Presence and Colocalization of Type-1 Cannabinoid Receptors with Acetylcholine Receptors in the Motor End-Plate of Twitch Skeletal Muscle Fibers in the Frog

Xóchitl Trujillo · Enrique Sánchez-Pastor · Felipa Andrade · Miguel Huerta

Received: 9 January 2014/Accepted: 12 August 2014/Published online: 27 August 2014 © Springer Science+Business Media New York 2014

Abstract Using polyclonal and monoclonal antibodies to visualize under a confocal microscope type-1 cannabinoid receptors (CB_1) and acetylcholine (ACh) receptors, respectively, or α -bungarotoxin conjugated to Alexa-Fluor 555 for Ach receptors, we found that they colocalize on twitch muscle fibers in the frog (Rana pipiens). We show that both the CB₁ and ACh receptors are present on the fast skeletal muscle motor end-plate. The CB₁ receptor is present along the entire membrane of the muscle fiber, whereas the ACh receptor is expressed primarily at the motor end-plate. Analysis of the colocalization produced a cross-correlation coefficient of 0.519 ± 0.021 (n = 9) for both receptors at the muscle motor end-plate. This study suggests a close proximity between these two types of receptor proteins and that they could interact. CB1 could function at some stage of excitation-contraction coupling in these muscle fibers. However, further investigation is needed in order to clarify these issues.

Keywords Cannabinoid receptor type-1 · Acetylcholine receptor · Motor end-plate · Skeletal muscle · Colocalization · Twitch skeletal muscle fiber

Xóchitl Trujillo and Enrique Sánchez-Pastor have contributed equally to this study.

X. Trujillo · E. Sánchez-Pastor · M. Huerta (⊠) Dr. Enrico Stefani building, Centro Universitario de Investigaciones Biomedicas, Universidad de Colima, Av. 25 de julio No. 965, Col., 28040 Villa San Sebastián, Colima, Mexico e-mail: huertam@ucol.mx; huerta@ucol.mx

F. Andrade

Instituto Tecnologico de Colima, Villa De Alvarez, Colima, Mexico

Introduction

Skeletal muscles possess two main types of muscle fibers: fast (or twitch) and slow (or tonic). Twitch fibers are mainly mono-innervated with large-diameter motor axons, produce propagated action potentials, and generate a transient contracture with high K^+ solutions and spontaneous relaxation. In contrast, tonic fibers are polyneuronally innervated with small-diameter motor axons, which do not produce propagated action potentials, and their contracture is prolonged with high K^+ or acetylcholine (ACh)-containing solutions (Kuffler and Vaughan-Williams 1953; Gilly and Hui 1980; Huerta et al. 1986; Katina and Nasledov 2008; Trujillo et al. 2014).

Cannabinoids, the active compounds in marijuana (Cannabis sativa), cause psychoactive and motor effects when the plant is consumed. These effects are produced by the interaction of these compounds with the cannabinoid membrane receptors CB1 and CB2 (Howlett et al. 2002). These receptors function through G-protein activation (Soderstrom et al. 2000). To date, only the orthologous CB1 receptors have been identified in amphibians (Soderstrom et al. 2000; McPartland et al. 2006). Expression of the CB_1 transcript has been reported in the two types of muscle fibers (Sánchez-Pastoret al. 2004; Cavuoto et al. 2007; Huerta et al. 2009). Moreover, the activation of CB_1 by synthetic anandamide (ACPA) (Hillard et al. 1999) reduces the amplitude of miniature end-plate potentials (MEPPs) and caffeine contractures in twitch fibers (Huerta et al. 2009; Sánchez-Pastor et al. 2007).

The primary aim of the present study was to investigate the presence and localization of CB_1 on skeletal muscle fibers in the frog. Here, we report the colocalization of CB_1 and ACh receptors.



Fig. 1 Membrane localization of CB_1 receptors on cutaneous pectoris muscle fibers. **a** Muscle fibers labeled with CB_1 -specific antibody. *Yellow arrowheads* indicate CB_1 receptor clusters, and *red arrowhead* points striations. **b** A different plane showing CB_1 receptors at the muscle fiber periphery (*yellow arrowhead*). **c** Different plane indicating with a *white arrowhead* the receptor clusters at the top of the muscle fiber cell. **d** High magnification of fiber surface showing

Materials and Methods

Animals

Frogs (*Rana pipiens*) were used in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals published by the Institute for Laboratory Animal Research (Institute for Laboratory Animal Research 1996) and Alworth and Harvey (2007). All other general methods were described by Huerta et al. (1986).

Antibody Staining and Confocal Microscopy

We isolated the cutaneous pectoris muscle from the frog and removed all vasculature and connective tissue under a dissection microscope. The muscles were pre-fixed with

 CB_1 receptors on striations. *Red arrowheads* indicate muscle fiber striations on the surface. The *white arrowhead* indicates CB_1 clusters on the muscle fiber membrane. **e** Muscle fibers scanned using a photomultiplier for transmitted light so that the striations along the muscle fibers can be seen. **f** The same fibers as **e** labeled using the preabsorbed CB_1 antibody (negative control). n = 8 animals

4 % paraformaldehyde (without picric acid) for 25 min and washed three times with PBS. Polyclonal antibodies against CB1 (rabbit, 1:500; Abcam, Cat. No. 3559 and Abcam Cat. No. 23703) and monoclonal antibodies against the ACh receptor (mouse monoclonal, 1:500; Abcam Cat. No. 24631) were used for immunohistochemistry. The specificity of the antibodies was checked by performing experiments with no first antibody or by pre-absorbing the CB₁ antibody with its immunogenic peptide. The muscle fiber preparations were incubated with 10 % bovine serum albumin (BSA) for 30 min at room temperature for background blocking followed by overnight incubation with the primary antibodies in PBS with 0.2 % Triton X-100 and 1 % BSA at 4 °C. At the next day, the muscles were washed in PBS with 0.2 % Triton X-100 and 1 % BSA and incubated with the secondary antibodies, FITC-conjugated



Fig. 2 Localization of ACh receptors at the membrane of cutaneous pectoris muscle fibers. **a** The *red arrow* indicates the saturated intensity in the region corresponding to the motor end-plate of a muscle fiber. The *yellow arrow* indicates the striations in the muscle fiber. **b** Two different motor end-plates are shown using non-

anti-rabbit IgG (1:250; Abcam), and Alexa fluor-568-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (1:250; Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR), for 2 h in the dark. Finally, the muscles were washed three times in PBS with 0.2 % Triton X-100 and 1 % BSA and two times in PBS for 5 min each. Additional experiments using α -bungarotoxin conjugated to Alexa-Fluor 555 were performed to label ACh receptors. The α -bungarotoxin (2 µg/ml) was applied for 15 min at 24 °C prior to slide mounting (Lindgren et al. 2013). The muscles were mounted on microscope slides using Prolong Antifade (Molecular Probes) and coverslips. Confocal images were taken in 1 µm increments using a LSM700 Zeiss confocal microscope with a 40× plan-apochromatic objective at a resolution of 0.078 m/pixel. Images were 3D blind deconvolved using Autoquant X3 (Media Cibernetics Inc.,

saturating laser intensity (*red arrows*). These two motor end-plates correspond to different cells. Striations could not be seen under non-saturating settings. **c** ACh receptors labeled with specific antibody. **d** ACh receptors tagged using α -bungarotoxin. n = 8 animals

Rockville, MD, USA) and analyzed using ZEN 2009 (Zeiss).

Colocalization Analysis

The colocalization cross-correlation was analyzed using Protein Proximity Analyzer software in accordance with the published methodology (Wu et al. 2012; Zinchuk et al. 2011). This quantification is capable of determining the fraction of proteins in one channel that colocalized with the proteins in the other channel. In this kind of analysis, a sharp peak indicates a high degree of colocalization, which may suggest an interaction between the analyzed proteins.



Fig. 3 Sectioning of muscle fibers co-labeled for CB_1 and ACh receptors. Both receptors are highly expressed at the neuromuscular end-plate. a Muscle fiber surface visualized by transmitted light. b CB_1 receptors. c ACh receptors. d Overlay of CB_1 and ACh receptors. n = 7 animals

Results

Confocal Images of CB1 in Skeletal Muscle Fibers

The representative images in Fig. 1a-d show the distribution of CB₁ receptors on the surface of cutaneous pectoris cells on different planes. These cells were single-labeled with the CB_1 antibody. Yellow arrowheads indicate the receptor in the membranes of different fibers. The white arrow in Fig. 1c points CB₁ receptor clusters on the membrane. Negative controls were performed by incubating the muscle fibers with only the secondary antibody without the CB₁ antibody, which revealed the background signal in the absence of CB₁ antibody (not shown). Similar results were obtained when labeling four muscles with another CB1 antibody (Abcam, Cat. No. 23703) that recognizes a different region within the receptor (Fig. 1d). Figure 1d shows a magnified image pointing the striations (red arrowheads) on the surface of the muscle fiber and some clusters at the membrane of the fibers (white arrowheads). The specificity of the labeling was tested by pre-absorbing the antibody overnight at 4 °C with its immunogenic peptide (100 μ g/ml; Abcam, Cat. No. 50542) prior to incubation on the samples, which revealed the background signal (Fig. 1f). The fibers labeled with the pre-absorbed CB₁ antibody are shown in Fig. 1e.

Confocal Images of Acetylcholine Receptors in Skeletal Muscle Fibers

Figure 2 shows the localization of ACh receptors in muscle fibers of the frog. By using high laser intensity, we were able to establish the ACh receptor distribution along the entire muscle fiber membrane. Localization of these receptors on the striations of the muscle fibers is clearly shown in the first image (Fig. 2a). The characteristic striations on the surface are indicated by a yellow arrow, showing the existence of ACh receptors on the complete fiber surface. An expanded image is shown in Fig. 2b to appreciate the high density of ACh receptors at the motor end-plates in two different muscle fibers. ACh receptor expression was much higher at the motor end-plate than



Fig. 4 CB₁ co-localizes with ACh receptors at the motor end-plate on the membranes of muscle fibers in the frog. **a** ACh receptor expression, labeled with its specific antibody and Alexa-fluor 568 (*Red*), is much higher at the motor end-plate than along the rest of the muscle fiber membrane (*yellow arrowhead*). **b** CB₁ receptors, labeled with antibody and FITC (*Green*), are expressed mainly at the motor end-plate (*red arrowheads*). **c** Overlaying the images produces a

along the rest of the muscle membrane (Fig. 2b, red arrows). The labeling of ACh receptors by α -bungarotoxin is shown in Fig. 2d; the labeling pattern coincided with the labeling observed when ACh receptor antibody was used (Fig. 2c) in the same end-plate, which supports the specificity of the antibody used in this study.

CB₁ Colocalizes with ACh Receptor at the Motor End-plate in the Frog

In order to determine whether CB_1 colocalizes with the ACh receptor at the motor end-plate, we co-labeled muscle fibers with both CB_1 receptor antibody and α -bungarotoxin conjugated to Alexa-Fluor 555 labeling ACh receptors (Fig. 3) or Ach antibody (Fig. 4). Several sections were acquired at high magnification in order to show ACh receptor clusters colocalized with CB_1 receptor clusters on muscle fiber end-plates. Figure 3a shows several planes of the muscle fiber membrane acquired with transmitted light

yellow color where both receptors co-localize (white arrowheads). (**d**-**f**) Colocalization analysis showing the cross-correlation by pixelshift. **d** Three-dimensional mesh plot of the cross-correlation function. The sharp peak corresponds to colocalization. **e** Contour plot of the cross-correlation function. The *straight line* corresponds to the region used for fitting (**f**). **f** The *red line* indicates the non-linear fit of the indicated region in (**e**) to a single Gaussian function

where striations can be seen and many invaginations at the motor end-plate. CB₁ clusters are localized at the motor end-plate (Fig. 3b) which coincides with the expression of Ach receptors as well as in the motor end-plate (Fig. 3c–d).

Further analysis was performed in order to determine whether CB₁ colocalizes with the ACh receptor at the motor end-plate. At higher magnification, the expression of ACh receptors(labeled with Ach receptor antibody and Alexafluor 568) was elevated at the motor end-plate (Fig. 4a). We also observed an increase in CB₁ receptor expression at the motor end-plate (Fig. 4b). The overlay of the images is shown in Fig. 4c. The expression of both receptors was much higher at the motor end-plate than on the whole surface, and CB₁ exhibited a high degree of colocalization (yellow, red, and white arrowheads) with the ACh receptor.

Finally, we performed protein proximity analysis to quantify the colocalization of the receptors (Fig. 4d–f). The mesh plot for the cross-correlation function is shown in Fig. 4d. The straight line in the contour plot (Fig. 4e)

indicates the region where the fitting was conducted using a double Gaussian function (Fig. 4f). The protein proximity index (PPI) values for these images were 0.523 for ACh receptors and 0.481 for CB₁ receptors, and the cross-correlation coefficient was 0.502. This analysis was performed for nine end-plates on images taken every 0.5 μ m in the Z-axis, which were analyzed from the muscles of seven different frogs. The average PPI was 0.573 \pm 0.034 for ACh receptors, 0.473 \pm 0.021 for CB₁ receptors, and 0.519 \pm 0.021 for the cross-correlation coefficient.

Discussion

A previous study (Sánchez-Pastor et al. 2004; Huerta et al. 2009) demonstrated that the transcript for the cannabinoid receptor, CB₁, is present in the twitch and slow skeletal muscle fibers of the frog. The present study shows the first direct evidence of the presence of the cannabinoid receptor on the membranes of these muscle fibers. We previously reported that the synthetic cannabinoids, WIN212-2 (WIN) and ACPA, diminish the amplitude of the MEPP (Sánchez-Pastor et al. 2007). However, pertussis toxin, a G-protein inhibitor, and AM281, a CB₁ antagonist, inhibit the ACPA effect on MEPP amplitude. These effects suggest the presence of post-synaptic CB₁ receptors.

Using polyclonal anti-CB₁ antibodies, we have shown that CB₁ is present on the entire membrane of fast muscle fibers in the frog. The specificity of the antibody used in these experiments was demonstrated previously by showing the typical staining pattern in the human cerebellum compared to a lack of antibody labeling in CB₁-knockout mice (Chung et al. 2009), and we also made some controls using the immunogenic peptide. This result is consistent with the finding that cannabinoids reduce the tension of caffeine-evoked contractures of slow and fast muscle fibers (Huerta et al. 2009). This effect does not occur when the fiber is treated with pertussis toxin or AM281, suggesting that cannabinoids decrease tension via the activation of cannabinoid receptors, functioning at some step of excitation–contraction coupling in frog skeletal muscle fibers.

Furthermore, by co-labeling with a monoclonal antibody against the ACh receptor or α -bungarotoxin, we detected the presence of both CB₁ and ACh receptors on the skeletal muscle motor end-plate. Based on the cross-correlation coefficient, the present study shows that these two receptors are very close in the motor end-plate of these fast skeletal muscle fibers and suggests a close interaction between these two proteins, possibly through modulation of the activity of the ACh receptor by G proteins (Butt and Pitman 2002). Further experiments are necessary to determine the functional interaction between the CB₁ and ACh receptors.

Conclusions

The present study shows the first direct evidence for the presence of the cannabinoid receptor on the membrane of these muscle fibers. CB_1 is normally present in the membranes of skeletal muscle fibers and is expressed at higher levels in the end-plate, where it colocalizes with the ACh receptor, which is primarily expressed at the end-plate. Further investigation is necessary to clarify the functional interaction between these receptors.

Acknowledgments This work was supported, in part, by a grant from the ConsejoNacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (CONACyT-Mexico, 83113 to MH) and by a grant from Ramón Álvarez-Buylla de Aldana (FRABA to MH and XT). We thank Mr. Ezequiel Viera for his technical assistance.

Conflict of interest The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- Alworth LC, Harvey SB (2007) IACUC issues associated with amphibian research. ILAR J 48:278–289
- Butt SJB, Pitman RS (2002) Modulation by 5-hydroxytryptamine of nicotinic acetylcholine response recorded from an identified cockroach (Periplanetaamericana) motoneuron. Eur J Neurosci 15:429–438
- Cavuoto P, McAinch JA, Hatzinkolas G, Janovská A, Game P, Wittert GA (2007) The expression of receptors for endocannabinoids in human and rodent skeletal muscle. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 364:105–110
- Chung SC, Hammarsten P, Josefsson A, Stattin P, Granfors T, Egevad L, Mancini G, Lutz B, Bergh A, Fowler CJ (2009) A high cannabinoid CB1 receptor immunoreactivity is associated with disease severity and outcome in prostate cancer. Eur J Cancer 45:174–182
- Gilly WF, Hui CS (1980) Mechanical activation in slow and twitch skeletal muscle fibers of the frog. J Physiol 301:137–156
- Hillard CJ, Manna S, Greenberg MJ, DiCamelli R, Ross RA, Stevenson LA, Murphy V, Pertwee RG, Campbell WB (1999) Synthesis and characterization of potent and selective agonists of the neuronal cannabinoid receptor (CB1). J Pharmacol Exp Ther 289:1427–1433
- Howlett AC, Barth F, Bonner TI, Cabral G, Casellas P, Devane WA, Felder CC, Herkenham M, Mackie K, Martin BR, Mechoulam R, Pertwee RG (2002) International Union of Pharmacology. XXVII. Classification of cannabinoid receptors. Pharmacol Rev 54:161–202
- Huerta M, Muñiz J, Stefani E (1986) The effects of external calcium on potassium contractures in tonic muscle fibers of the frog. J Physiol 376:219–230
- Huerta M, Ortiz-Mesina M, Trujillo X, Sánchez-Pastor E, Vásquez C, Castro E, Velasco R, Montoya-Pérez R, Onetti C (2009) Effects of cannabinoids on caffeine contractures in slow and fast skeletal muscle fibers of the frog. J Membr Biol 229:91–99
- Institute for Laboratory Animal Research (1996) Guide for the care and use of laboratory animals. Commission on life science, National Research Council, 1996, pp. 1–50
- Katina IE, Nasledov GA (2008) A comparative analysis of contracture responses induced by acetylcholine and choline in the twitch and tonic fibers of frog skeletal muscles. Biophysics 53:608–614

- Kuffler SW, Vaughan-Williams EM (1953) Properties of the slow skeletal muscles fibers of the frog. J Physiol 121:318–340
- Lindgren Clark A, Newman Zachary L, Morford Jamie J, Ryan Steven B, Battani Kathryn A, Su Zheng (2013) Cyclooxygenase-2, prostaglandin E2 glycerol ester and nitric oxide are involved in muscarine-induced presynaptic enhancement at the vertebrate neuromuscular junction. J Physiol 591:4749–4764
- McPartland JM, Matias I, DiMarzo V, Glass M (2006) Evolutionary origins of the endocannabinoid system. Gene 370:64–74
- Sánchez-Pastor E, Trujillo X, Huerta M, Castro E, Vásquez C, Andrade F (2004) Presence of mRNA for cannabinoid receptor (CB1) in frog and chicken skeletal muscle fibers. Biophysical Society 48th Annual Meeting. Feb. 14–18, 2004, Baltimore, MD. Biophysical Society, Bethesda, MD, p. A2179. http://www. biophysics.org
- Sánchez-Pastor E, Trujillo X, Huerta M, Andrade F (2007) Effects of cannabinoids on synaptic transmission in the frog neuromuscular junction. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 321:439–445

- Soderstrom K, Leid M, Moore FL, Murria TF (2000) Behavioral, pharmacological, and molecular characterization of an amphibian cannabinoid receptor. J Neurochem 75:413–423
- Trujillo X, Sánchez-Pastor E, Andrade F, Huerta M (2014) Effects of cannabinoids on tension induced by acetylcholine and choline in slow skeletal muscle fibers of the frog. J Membr Biol 247:57–62
- Wu Y, Zinchuk V, Grossenbacher-Zinchuk O, Stefani E (2012) Critical evaluation of quantitative colocalization analysis in confocal fluorescence microscopy. Interdiscip Sci 4:27–37
- Zinchuk V, Wu Y, Grossenbacher-Zinchuk O, Stefani E (2011) Quantifying spatial correlations of fluorescent markers using enhanced background reduction with protein proximity index and correlation coefficient estimations. Nat Protoc 6:1554–1567